

The Treasury

Budget 2026 Information Release

September 2026

This document has been proactively released and is available on:

- The Budget website from September 2026 to May 2027 only at: <https://budget.govt.nz/information-release/2026>, and on
- The Treasury website from later in 2026 at: <https://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/budgets/budget-2026-information-release>

Information Withheld

Some parts of this information release would not be appropriate to release and, if requested, would be withheld under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act).

Where this is the case, the relevant sections of the Act that would apply have been identified.

Where information has been withheld, no public interest has been identified that would outweigh the reasons for withholding it.

Key to sections of the Act under which information has been withheld:

- [1] 6(a) - to avoid prejudice to the security or defence of New Zealand or the international relations of the government
- [2] 6(b)(i) - to avoid prejudice the entrusting of information to the Government of New Zealand on a basis of confidence by the Government of any other country or any agency of such a Government
- [4] 6(c) - to avoid prejudice to the maintenance of the law, including the prevention, investigation, and detection of offences, and the right to a fair trial
- [7] 6(e)(ii) - to prevent serious damage to the economy of New Zealand by disclosing prematurely decisions to change or continue government economic or financial policies relating to the regulation of banking or credit
- [12] 7(a)(i) - to avoid prejudice to the security or defence of the self-governing State of the Cook Islands
- [13] 7(a)(ii) - to avoid prejudice to the security or defence of the self-governing State of Niue
- [14] 7(a)(iii) - to avoid prejudice to the security or defence of Tokelau
- [15] 7(a)(iv) - to avoid prejudice to the security or defence of the Ross Dependency
- [23] 9(2)(a) - to protect the privacy of natural persons, including deceased people
- [25] 9(2)(b)(ii) - to protect the commercial position of the person who supplied the information or who is the subject of the information
- [26] 9(2)(ba)(i) - to protect information which is subject to an obligation of confidence or which any person has been or could be compelled to provide under the authority of any enactment, where the making available of the information would be likely to prejudice the supply of similar information, or information from the same source, and it is in the public interest that such information should continue to be supplied
- [27] 9(2)(ba)(ii) - to protect information which is subject to an obligation of confidence or which any person has been or could be compelled to provide under the authority of any enactment, where the making available of the information would be likely otherwise to damage the public interest

- [31] 9(2)(f)(ii) - to maintain the current constitutional conventions protecting collective and individual ministerial responsibility
- [33] 9(2)(f)(iv) - to maintain the current constitutional conventions protecting the confidentiality of advice tendered by ministers and officials
- [34] 9(2)(g)(i) - to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinions
- [35] 9(2)(g)(ii) - to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through protecting ministers, members of government organisations, officers and employees from improper pressure or harassment;
- [36] 9(2)(h) - to maintain legal professional privilege
- [37] 9(2)(i) - to enable the Crown to carry out commercial activities without disadvantage or prejudice
- [38] 9(2)(j) - to enable the Crown to negotiate without disadvantage or prejudice
- [39] 9(2)(k) - to prevent the disclosure of official information for improper gain or improper advantage
- [40] 18(c)(i) - that the making available of the information requested would be contrary to the provisions of a specified enactment
- [41] 18(d) - information requested is or will soon be publicly available

Where information has been withheld, a numbered reference to the applicable section of the Act has been made, as listed above. For example, a [23] appearing where information has been withheld in a release document refers to section 9(2)(a).

Copyright and Licensing

Cabinet material and advice to Ministers from the Treasury and other public service departments are © **Crown copyright** but are licensed for re-use under **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)** [<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>].

For material created by other parties, copyright is held by them and they must be consulted on the licensing terms that they apply to their material.

Accessibility

The Treasury can provide an alternate HTML version of this material if requested. Please cite this document's title or PDF file name when you email a request to information@treasury.govt.nz.



4 November 2025

Hon Paul Goldsmith
Minister of Justice
Parliament Buildings

Dear Paul

Budget 2026 is taking place in a tight fiscal environment and global uncertainty and therefore, it is crucial that we continue to maintain fiscal discipline to achieve a better future for New Zealand.

On 29 September, we collectively agreed at Cabinet that Budget 2026 will progress the Government's overarching goals in the following way [CAB-25-MIN-0340 refers]:

- Most agencies and Ministers will need to manage cost pressures and other commitments within existing baselines
- There will be limited funding for new initiatives and cost pressures, with a focus on meeting outstanding coalition commitments, and
- Sufficient savings will need to be identified to fund the above priorities within or below the net \$2.4 billion operating allowance.

Following Cabinet, Budget Ministers have met and agreed on which initiatives to invite into Budget 2026. I am writing to you to outline the initiatives you have been invited to submit.

Envelope – Operating

You are invited to submit spending initiatives (including operating expenditure associated with capital initiatives) within an operating expenditure envelope for Budget 2026 (see Annex A). The envelope should prioritise coalition commitments and funding necessary to maintain critical service delivery. An envelope does not constitute a guarantee of funding at any particular level.

This is a net envelope (i.e. spending *less* reprioritisation and savings options). As the Minister of an envelope agency, you have not been set a baseline reduction target. However, Budget Ministers expect you to identify credible reprioritisation options as part of your submission. You should submit these as individual savings initiatives to give full visibility to Budget Ministers on trade-offs and options.

When developing reprioritisation options, we would like you to consider options that align with the guidance we are setting for other Ministers delivering baseline reductions in support of wider public sector reforms. This guidance can be found below. We expect that the envelopes in future years will be constrained, reflecting this programme of reform.

There may be opportunities to apply the Government's social investment approach to initiatives in your envelope, and these may also have relevance to outcomes beyond law and order. Please engage with the Social Investment Agency on initiatives that could be considered in scope.

Capital

The list of capital spending initiatives you have been invited to submit are annexed to this letter.

A significant number of agencies had large capital underspends in the last financial year. When developing reprioritisation options, Budget Ministers expect you to consider reprioritising existing funding, and/or consider asset recycling options before seeking new funding.

Delivering infrastructure in a timely manner is essential for us to deliver on our economic growth and broader government priorities. Therefore, Budget Ministers intend to only recommend to Cabinet funding initiatives that can be delivered promptly.

In practice, this means that we will be considering whether – where relevant – Cabinet has agreed to a Detailed Business Case (DBC) or Single Stage Business Case (SSBC) ahead of submission or one is due to be considered by Cabinet before April 2026; and whether a project can start work within twelve months of funding. Where a Cabinet approved DBC or SSBC is not available at the time of initiative submission, we expect that a draft document will be made available.

Baseline reductions

Budget Ministers have agreed to a baseline reduction of 2 per cent at Budget 2026 for most agencies. Law and Order agencies (with the exception of Te Tari Whakatau, which has been sent a separate letter) are exempted from the baseline reduction exercise, and consequently no targets have been set. However, we expect all agencies to be engaged in exploring and developing opportunities in support of achieving a more efficient public service.

Notwithstanding this exemption, options identified for savings and reprioritisation should be met from reductions in agencies' costs in the first instance and carefully consider any reductions to services from third-party providers that may impact frontline services.

Further baseline reductions

Beyond the 2026/27 financial year, and this initial baseline reduction, Ministers will need to continue work to achieve lower departmental costs in later years.

This will require effort to, wherever appropriate, adopt modern digital delivery approaches – including the use of AI for more efficient service delivery, combining and streamlining back-office functions across agencies to reduce costs, enhancing workforce productivity rather than increasing personnel numbers, and stopping functions that do not directly improve public service delivery.

The Public Service Commission has initiated a programme of work to support this reform across agencies.

I am considering inviting other baseline reductions in outyears as part of this process, informed by the development of performance plans. The Minister for the Public Service and I will follow up with more detail shortly.

Next steps

The Treasury will be issuing guidance and templates for agencies shortly, which will include more detailed requirements for the Budget 2026 process, including my expectations around departments' personnel expense growth.

I invite you to write to me by Friday, 19 December providing a brief summary of your spending and/or savings submissions. I ask that your agency also submits initiatives into the Treasury's system by 1pm Friday, 19 December.

The contents of this letter are Budget-Sensitive, and a reminder of Budget information security guidelines are annexed to this letter.

Thank you for your continued commitment to getting the Government's books back in order and ensuring spending represents value for money. I look forward to receiving your submissions in December.

Yours Sincerely,



Hon Nicola Willis
Minister of Finance

Cc Hon Judith Collins KC, Attorney General
 Hon Mark Mitchell, Minister for Corrections, Minister for Police
 Hon Nicole McKee, Minister for Courts, Associate Minister of Justice
 Hon Karen Chhour, Minister for Children, Minister for Prevention of Family and Sexual Violence
 Andrew Kibblewhite, Secretary and Chief Executive, Ministry of Justice
 Una Jagose KC, Solicitor General, Crown Law
 Emma Powell, Chief Executive, Executive Board for the Elimination of Family Violence and Sexual Violence
 Andrew Bridgman, Chief Executive, Oranga Tamariki
 Jeremy Lightfoot, Secretary and Chief Executive, Department of Corrections
 Richard Chambers, Commissioner, New Zealand Police
 Karen Chang, Director and Chief Executive, Serious Fraud Office

Annex A: Budget 2026 Invitations

Law and Order

I am inviting you to submit a package of initiatives up to the envelope amount outlined below.

Envelope scenario (\$m operating per annum ¹)	
Amount	Agencies
200	Your envelope covers initiatives across the Ministry of Justice, Department of Corrections, New Zealand Police, Oranga Tamariki, Serious Fraud Office, the Crown Law Office and the Centre for Family Violence and Sexual Violence Prevention. Similar to Budget 2025, I ask that you as the Minister of Justice be the lead Minister for the Justice sector and co-ordinate submissions.

Your envelope submission should prioritise coalition commitments and funding necessary to maintain critical service delivery.

Operating spending and savings	
Initiative type	Condition/s
Spending	In considering funding for new spending, priority could be given to costs associated with: • agreed initiatives that are being progressed through legislative change but for which funding has not been agreed (e.g. ^[33] transfer of the Firearms Safety Authority to a new regulatory agency) • initiatives related to the costs of any upcoming or proposed policy or other changes for which Cabinet agreement will be sought from now until Budget 2027, and • initiatives for which out-of-cycle funding requests have been deferred (e.g. rewrite of the Arms Act 1983 ^[33])

¹ Operating per annum is the annual average across the forecast period.

Operating spending and savings	
Initiative type	Condition/s
Funding to maintain core services	You are invited to submit initiatives to maintain core frontline services but, given the fiscally constrained environment, I expect cost pressure submissions to be well justified and generally based on one year of forecast cost increases. Options should be presented to address forecast volume pressures in the courts and prisons, with a particular focus on options that do not require additional funding. Remuneration pressures should be funded from baselines in the first instance and be submitted in line with the Workforce Policy Statement and Budget guidance or other relevant guidance.
Savings (including invest-to-save)	For savings and/or reprioritisation initiatives, practical savings proposals previously put forward but not progressed can be resubmitted. You are also invited to submit invest-to-save proposals that demonstrate how an investment will be more than offset by a future increase in efficiency or savings, including savings flowing from a reduction in volumes pressures, especially for courts and prisons (including the remand population).

Given the constrained fiscal environment, thought will need to be given to the funding balance between cost pressures and important new initiatives/investments in the sector. A revised Justice Sector Projection should be produced to inform Budget decision making.

Please continue to work with the Social Investment Agency on how a social investment approach and principles could support the case for these initiatives (contributing to law and order and wider outcomes). For example, if prioritised within the envelope, the [33] and Project Whetū initiatives could be aligned with our social investment approach.

Invitations for specific capital initiatives are being provided separately below. Any operating funding associated with capital initiatives will need to be included in the law-and-order operating envelope. There is no separate capital funding envelope for the sector. If operating funding is not sought in the envelope, the associated capital initiative will not be considered to be a priority for the sector.

Capital Investments		
A condition attached to all relevant capital investments is that Cabinet has agreed to a Detailed Business Case (DBC) or Single Stage Business Case (SSBC) ahead of submission or one is due to be considered by Cabinet before April 2026. Where a Cabinet approved DBC or SSBC is not available at the time of initiative submission, I expect that a draft document will be made available at that time instead.		
Agency	Title	Conditions
Oranga Tamariki	[33]	
[33] and [37]		
Ministry of Justice	Rotorua combined High and District Court, Rotorua Māori Land Court, and Waitākere District Court	Recommendations from National Infrastructure Funding and Financing and Crown Infrastructure Delivery review to be responded to and reflected in design and costings as appropriate.
Ministry of Justice, Department of Corrections, New Zealand Police	Court Remote Participation Services	This initiative can support near-term Remote Participation capacity uplifts while planning for broader transformational sector-wide work progresses, but should only be submitted if the sector has the capacity to prioritise this work.
New Zealand Police	[33]	Not applicable
New Zealand Police	Automated Biometric Information System Replacement	Not applicable
New Zealand Police	[33]	Not applicable
New Zealand Police	Property Programme Phase 1a	Not applicable

I am inviting you to submit the following initiatives outside the envelope.

Initiatives outside the envelope		
Agency	Title	Conditions
Department of Corrections	Costs arising from Stafford vs Attorney General	Not applicable
New Zealand Police	Costs arising from Stafford vs Attorney General	Not applicable

Annex B: Information security guidelines

Agencies providing advice to the Government in the interests of the New Zealand economy have the responsibility to ensure that information passing through their hands is actively managed in line with the sensitivity of the content, and complies with both the Protective Security Requirements (PSR) and the New Zealand Information Security Manual (NZISM). Improper exposure of Budget information impacts on the Government of the day, the political process, and departments.

It is the responsibility of agencies to ensure that they have designed sufficient processes to manage the handling of BUDGET-SENSITIVE information.

Correctly classifying Budget information

Most Budget information should be IN-CONFIDENCE or BUDGET-SENSITIVE. It is important to avoid overclassifying information as classifications can become devalued or ignored if everything is overclassified.

For instance, some information relating to Budget may be more appropriately classified as IN-CONFIDENCE rather than BUDGET-SENSITIVE, such as information on individual initiatives that do not make reference to the overall Budget package, strategy and priorities, and is not otherwise sensitive.

A high-level overview of the difference between the IN-CONFIDENCE and BUDGET- SENSITIVE classifications is provided in the table below.

IN-CONFIDENCE	BUDGET-SENSITIVE
IN-CONFIDENCE classification should be used when the compromise of information is likely to: • prejudice the maintenance of law and order; • impede the effective conduct of government; and • adversely affect the privacy of New Zealand citizens.	The SENSITIVE security classification should be used when the compromise of information is likely to damage New Zealand's interests or endanger the safety of its citizens. For instance, where compromise could: • endanger the safety of any person; • seriously damage the economy of New Zealand by prematurely disclosing decisions to change or continue government economic or financial policies; and • impede government negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).

More information can be found in the following resources:

- Security classifications for policy and privacy information | [Protective Security Requirements](#)
- PSR Guidelines for the Protection of Official Information: [PSR Classification Quick Guides](#)